



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,552	03/17/2006	Hye-Ryung Lee	3449-0600PUS1	5082

2292 7590 09/30/2008
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

GOODLEY, JAMES E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2817

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/30/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/572,552	Applicant(s) LEE, HYE-RYUNG	
	Examiner JAMES E. GOODLEY	Art Unit 2817	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/17/2006 8/7/2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 11 refer to, “wherein the trap filter eliminates a frequency signal of a channel bandwidth in each broadcasting system.” It is unclear what each broadcasting system is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-8, 10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ***Harford et al. (US 5,337,091)***.

Regarding **claims 1, 5-8, 10 and 12-15**, Fig. 1 of Harford discloses a demodulating unit of a tuner, comprising:

An RF processing unit [RF downconverter 12];

Art Unit: 2817

a filter [SAW filters 15 and 16];

a sound signal processing line [output of IF amplifier 21] diverging from the filter to produce a sound signal [SOUND OUT] (the output of amplifier 21 is based on I Video output from block 18; the sound signal is also developed from the output of SAW filter 16, which diverges from the path of SAW filter 15);

a video signal processing line [output of PIX IF Amplifier 17] diverging from the filter to produce a baseband video signal [I and Q VIDEO OUTPUT]; and

a trap filter [4.5MHz trap 41] disposed at the video signal processing line to a beat component (see lines 19-24 of column 3).

The trap filter eliminates an FM radio signal of an upper adjacent channel (see line 50 of column 4 to line 39 of column 5 – an adjacent sound channel [47.25 MHz] to the video channel [45.75 MHz] of is eliminated, the sound channel having a 4.5MHz base bandwidth eliminated by the trap filter in the video path).

The video signal processing line includes a video detector [18, 19], a sound IF trap filter [41], a video equalizer [noise canceler 50 and SAW filter 15] and a video buffer amplifier [17, 47].

The sound signal processing line includes a sound detector [26] and a sound signal passing filter [24, 25].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2817

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ***Harford et al. (US 5,337,091)***.

Regarding **claims 2, 3, 9, 16 and 17**, Harford fails to specifically disclose, "wherein the trap filter eliminates a frequency signal of 4.85-5.25MHz"; "wherein the baseband signal is a baseband signal of US channel 6 in an NTSC broadcasting system"; or, "wherein the FM radio frequency is in a range of 88.1-88.5Mhz."

However, Harford does disclose the use of this tuner circuit in an NTSC broadcasting system (see column 1, lines 41-61). Harford also discloses that the sound is in an FM band of operation (FM detector 26 and lines 23-38 of column 5). One of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that the tuner can be designed to accommodate different FM and NTSC broadcasting frequency ranges by appropriate design of the trap filter 41 and of the SAW filters 15 and 16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the tuner to accommodate NTSC channel 6, the FM range of 88.1-88.5Mhz by appropriate design of the trap and SAW filters (with the trap eliminating a frequency signal of 4.85-5.25MHz), for the purpose of utilizing whichever NTSC and FM frequency range is desired.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES E. GOODLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-8598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James E Goodley/

Examiner, Art Unit 2817

/Robert Pascal/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2817